

Check for updates

Valuers' receptiveness to the application of artificial intelligence in property valuation

Rotimi Boluwatife Abidoye 🕩 and Albert P. C. Chan

Department of Building and Real Estate, The Hong Kong Polytechnic University, Hung Hom, Hong Kong

ABSTRACT

Studies have shown that the level of valuation inaccuracy in Nigeria is higher than the acceptable international standard. This may be linked to the preference for traditional valuation approaches. This study investigates the readiness of Nigerian valuers to adopt the artificial intelligence (AI) property valuation techniques that have proven to be reliable and accurate in property valuation. A crosssectional study was conducted via a web-based questionnaire survey to registered estate surveyors and valuers practicing in Nigeria. The collected data were analyzed and presented with descriptive statistics in percentiles and mean score, in addition to the chi-square analysis. The results show that more than half of the respondents are aware of the AI valuation techniques. However, the techniques are not used in practice. The low adoption of the AI techniques is attributed to professional bodies responsible for regulation of real estate practice and tertiary educational institutions in Nigeria, who were not proactive enough to promote their know-how and application. It was found that active collaboration between local professional bodies and similar international organizations on member training and development may improve the usage of the AI techniques. The study highlights the need for a paradigm shift in the Nigerian property valuation practice.

ARTICLE HISTORY

Received 2 August 2016 Accepted 22 February 2017

KEYWORDS

Artificial intelligence; property valuation; valuers; valuation techniques; Nigeria

Introduction and background

The accurate and reliable estimation of real estate property value is of vital importance to real estate stakeholders in different parts of the world. This information is usually needed by mortgagees, mortgagors, portfolio managers, real estate buyers and sellers, government organizations, and others in making informed real estate investment decisions (Do & Grudnitski, 1992). It has been found that property price and the demand for construction works are positively related (Zheng, Chau, & Hui, 2012). Similarly, empirical evidence shows that investments in real estate properties stimulate economic growth (Chiang, Tao, & Wong, 2015). Based on the foregoing, it is reasonable to suggest that the ability to accurately estimate property values could influence the economy. This clearly highlights the fundamental place of accurate and reliable property valuation to stakeholders in the real estate sector and the economy at large.

Several property valuation techniques can be found in the literature and in the real estate practice. Traditionally, property valuation methods utilized in practice in different real estate markets around the globe include direct comparison, multiple regression analysis, indexation, cost method, investment method, among others (McCluskey, Deddis, Mannis, McBurney, & Borst, 1997). However, there are some weaknesses (subjective, imprecise, inaccurate, and unreliable) associated with these approaches (McGreal, Adair, McBurney, & Patterson, 1998; Zurada, Levitan, & Guan, 2006). There has been constant effort to achieve a sustainable property valuation practice that will meet the sophisticated need of the twenty-first century property valuation reports end-users (Adegoke, 2014). Consequently, artificial intelligence (AI) predicting and forecasting techniques such as fuzzy logic system (FLS), expert system, and artificial neural network (ANN), among others, were adopted purposely to address the shortcoming of most traditional valuation approaches (Amri & Tularam, 2012; Bagnoli & Smith, 1998; Tay & Ho, 1992). These AI techniques have been applied in property valuation both in theory and in practice in some developed countries (see McCluskey et al., 1997; Schwartz, 1995).

Among the AI techniques, ANN is one of the most widely applied modeling approaches in the property valuation research (Mora-Esperanza, 2004), and has produced encouraging and excellent results in different property markets around the world (Pagourtzi, Metaxiotis, Nikolopoulos, Giannelos, & Assimakopoulos, 2007). This has been documented in numerous publications including but are not limited to Singapore (Tay & Ho, 1992); United States (Borst, 1995); Ireland (McCluskey, 1996); Switzerland (Din, Hoesli, & Bender, 2001); Hong Kong (Lam, Yu, & Lam, 2008); Greece (Pagourtzi et al., 2007); Turkey (Selim, 2009); Spain (Tabales, Ocerin, & Carmona, 2013) and other economies, although some scholars reported otherwise (for instance, Kontrimas & Verikas, 2011; Rossini, 1997; Worzala, Lenk, & Silva, 1995). The information gleaned from the literature shows that AI models outperform traditional techniques, in terms of accuracy. However, a critical look at these studies shows that the AI techniques have been applied to property valuation largely in the context of developed countries.

Previous studies (for instance, Ayedun, Ogunba, & Oloyede, 2010; Babawale & Ajayi, 2011; Ogunba & Ajayi, 1998) conducted in Nigeria have reported that the level of valuation inaccuracy prevalent in the Nigerian property valuation landscape is far beyond industry acceptable standards Abidoye and Chan (2016) assert that the inaccuracy is due to the lack of the know-how in utilizing AI modeling techniques in property valuation. In order to achieve a sustainable property valuation practice in Nigeria, the present study aims to (a) examine the level of awareness of the AI valuation techniques; (b) evaluate the willingness of Nigerian valuers to adopt the AI techniques in practice; (c) assess measures that will enhance the adoption of the AI techniques, and (d) examine the potential benefits of adopting AI techniques to property valuation in the Nigerian real estate industry.

Artificial intelligence techniques in property valuation

AI techniques (advanced valuation approaches) are models that learn from property market data in estimating valuation figures (Özkan, Yalpır, & Uygunol, 2007). The techniques process sample data fed into them through a mathematical process that is more rigorous than that of the traditional valuation methods (Mora-Esperanza, 2004). Rossini (1999) affirms that the advanced approaches are more likely to produce on average more accurate valuation

Study	Country
Adair et al. (1996)	Northern Ireland
Tse and Love (2000)	Hong Kong
Janssen, Söderberg, & Zhou (2001)	Sweden
Paz (2003)	Spain
Mbachu and Lenono (2005)	South Africa
Jim and Chen (2006)	China
Cebula (2009)	United States
Ge (2009)	New Zealand
Selim (2008)	Turkey
Owusu-Ansah (2012)	Ghana
Ong (2013)	Malaysia
Famuyiwa and Babawale (2014)	Nigeria
Mallick and Mahalik (2015)	India

Table 1. Applications of HPM in the global real estate markets.

estimates than the traditional valuation methods. In the same vein, Waziri (2010) posits that AI techniques produce reliable and accurate valuation figures with speed. These advanced approaches include ANN, hedonic pricing model (HPM), Autoregressive Integrated Moving Average, spatial analysis and FLS (Pagourtzi, Assimakopoulos, Hatzichristos, & French, 2003). For the purpose of this study, AI valuation techniques to be considered are limited to HPM, ANN and FLS. These approaches have widely gained much more attention by real estate researchers around the world and have also been adopted in practice in some developed countries (see, McCluskey et al., 1997; Schwartz, 1995).

It is worth mentioning that none of the valuation approaches can address all real-life property valuation exercises (Tse, 1997). Property valuation approaches (both traditional and advanced) are not meant to replace a valuer in the valuation process (Lenk, Worzala, & Silva, 1997), they are tools to be adopted in achieving the desired result (Mora-Esperanza, 2004). The valuation figures produced by valuation approaches could be influenced by variations in the microeconomic factors of a subject property market (Witten, 1987). As more accurate and reliable property valuation estimates could be obtained in a stable property market (Shapiro, Mackmin, & Sams, 2012). However, the expert knowledge of a valuer cannot be underestimated in estimating more accurate property valuation figures (Kummerow, 2003). A brief description of these techniques (considered in this study) is presented below. However, readers can see Pagourtzi et al. (2003) for a detailed process of the application of both the traditional and the advanced approaches in property valuation process. Also, the strengths and weaknesses of some property valuation approaches are provided in Lam et al. (2008).

Hedonic pricing model

The principle of HPM is based on the regression analysis (Lentz & Wang, 1998; Selim, 2009). Regression analysis could be in the form of simple regression or multiple regression. In the case of simple regression, the analysis is geared toward evaluating the relationship between one independent variable and a dependent variable. Whereas, when the relationship under consideration is between a dependent variable and more than one independent variable, then it is referred to as multiple regression analysis (MRA). MRA is largely employed for the analysis of real estate property price because the value of a real estate property is dependent on more than one attribute (Selim, 2008). The technique has been adopted



Figure 1. A fuzzy logic system. Source: Adopted from Mendel (1995, p. 347).

widely across different real estate markets around the world. Table 1 shows a number of the studies reported.

Fuzzy logic system

The vagueness and ambiguity associated with property price analysis may render property price modeling estimates unreliable (González & Formoso, 2006). The FLS technique is an advanced valuation approach that has been designed to handle this vagueness – ambiguous, inaccurate market data in property price modeling. Zadeh (1965) introduced FLS technique and argued that the fuzzy set of an element in a group is characterized by a membership function, the value of this membership function ranges between 0 and 1. The principle of FLS is based on the translation of vague property information into meaningful numeric value, by following some defined set rules in the analysis. These rules are expressed as "if", "or", and "then" to produce the output. A typical example is "if a property is big and has high floors, then the value is high". The graphical representation of the process of FLS is shown in Figure 1. Some FLS studies centered on property valuation include Dilmore (1993), Byrne (1995), Bagnoli and Smith (1998), González and Formoso (2006) and Kuşan, Aytekin, and Özdemir (2010), among others.

Artificial neural network

Mora-Esperanza (2004, p. 257) describes ANN as a "computer system whose microprocessors, rather than laid out in series as in traditional computers, are connected in parallel, forming layers and making multiple connections, imitating the way the neuronal network is organized in the brain". The ANN model is suitable for property price prediction because the model mimics humans and hence, the output produced by it could be close to the estimation of a real estate valuer (Borst, 1991). Its ability to capture the non-linear relationship between property attributes and property values (Ge, 2004) makes it more suitable for property valuation than other appraisal techniques (Cechin, Souto, & Gonzalez, 2000).

The ANN model is made up of three layers, namely input, hidden and output layers. Property attributes are fed from the input layer into the network, the mathematical processing takes place in the hidden layer(s) and the result of the transformation is produced at the output layer, which is where the predicted property value is obtained. Figure 2 shows



Figure 2. Structure of ANN architecture. Source: Adopted from McGreal et al. (1998, p. 59).

an example of an ANN architecture with five inputs, one hidden neuron and one output neuron. Some of the studies that have applied ANN in property price prediction are Borst (1991), Do and Grudnitski (1992), Nguyen and Cripps (2001), Selim (2009) and McCluskey, McCord, Davis, Haran, and McIlhatton (2013), among others.

Methodology

The main focus of this paper is to evaluate valuers' willingness to embrace AI in property valuation in Nigeria. Quantitative research approach which allows the coverage of a wider spectrum of respondents being studied (Dix & Anderson, 2000) is adopted in this study. A questionnaire survey is a form of quantitative research approach that is usually adopted to measure the perception of respondents in respect of the subject matter under study. It can be administered to the respondents by face-to-face, by post, over the telephone, by email or over the internet (Hoxley, 2008). Online questionnaire approach was adopted in the present study to capture the opinion of real estate valuers on the research topic under investigation. As opined by Gillham (2000) and Mooya (2015), the survey instrument was validated by a group of real estate experts that have good knowledge of the Nigerian real estate market before the administration of questionnaire on the respondents. After this exercise, the valuers commented that the instrument was rolled out for the actual survey.

Questionnaire administration

The SurveyMonkey platform was utilized to design the online questionnaire. This approach is not uncommon in similar studies (see, for instance, Mooya, 2015; Poon, Hoxley, & Fuchs, 2011; Worzala, Tu, Benedict, & Matthews, 2013). The questionnaire was segmented into five parts. The first section centered on the characteristics of the respondents. Sections 2–5 contained questions on; knowledge of the AI valuation techniques, reasons for low awareness and applications of the AI valuation techniques, measures that will enhance the adoption of the AI valuation techniques and benefits of adopting the AI valuation techniques,

180 🛞 R. B. ABIDOYE AND A. P. C. CHAN

respectively. Responses were ranked on a five-point Likert scale (where 1 = strongly disagree, 2 = disagree, 3 = neutral, 4 = agree and 5 = strongly agree). This scale is common and appropriate for the study of this nature (Allen & Seaman, 2007; Dawes, 2008).

According to the 2014 membership directory of the Nigerian Institution of Estate Surveyors and Valuers (NIESV), there are 1,794 registered estate surveyor and valuers in the country. Out of this number, only 1,229 have their email recorded in the directory. Consequently, the link to the online questionnaire was sent to 300 randomly selected valuers. The respondents were expected to respond to the survey within a period of two months. Furthermore, a reminder was sent to the respondents within the survey period in order to increase the response rate. At the end of the survey period, 138 responses were received, which represents a response rate of 46%, which is higher than what is obtainable in similar studies that have utilized survey research method (Akintoye & Fitzgerald, 2000). It was worth mentioning that 92 out of the138 responses were properly completed and valid for further analysis.

Data analysis method

The collected data were analyzed using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) 20.0 software. This tool was used to conduct descriptive analyses in terms of percentile distribution and mean score (MS). Also, the Chi-square (χ^2) test was done to examine the statistical relationship (if any) that exists between the valuers' profile and the factors that affect the issues under investigation. The MS was adopted in ranking the factors included in the survey instrument. This approach has been adopted in previous built environment studies, see, for instance, Frank, Saelens, Powell, and Chapman (2007), Babarinde (2015), Mooya (2015) and Abidoye and Chan (2016), among others. The estimation of the MS was performed by adopting the expression in Equation (1), as adopted in Ameyaw and Chan (2015).

$$MS = \frac{5n_5 + 4n_4 + 3n_3 + 2n_2 + 1n_1}{N}$$
(1)

where *n* is the score given by valuers based on a five-point scale of 1-5 and *N* is the number of valuers that rated a variable.

The respondents

Professional members of NIESV are the participants of this survey. Those valuers (Estate Surveyors and Valuers, as called in Nigeria) have obtained either a Probationer, Associate or Fellow membership status of NIESV and have acquired some considerable years of professional real estate practice experience. Estate Surveyors and Valuers are real estate professionals that have been empowered by the Laws of the Federal Republic of Nigeria (Decree No. 24 of 1975, now CAP III of 1990) to carry out real estate related services to the public. Therefore, the responses from NIESV members are considered as the most appropriate for the present study.

The case study area

The authors decided to focus on Nigeria, which is the biggest economy in Africa and among the 25 largest economies of the world in terms of its Gross Domestic Product (GDP) (The

Variables	Frequency (n)	Percentage (%)
Membership status with NIESV		
Fellow	14	15.20
Associate	56	60.90
Probationer	22	23.90
Total	92	100.00
Years of industry experience		
1–5 years	18	19.60
6–10 years	28	30.40
11–15 years	22	23.90
16–20 years	8	8.70
20 years and above	16	17.40
Total	92	100.00
Gender		
Male	78	84.80
Female	14	15.20
Total	92	100.00
Sector of practice		
Private real estate firm	66	71.70
Government parastatal	4	4.30
Financial institution	2	2.20
Education (lecturer)	16	17.40
Oil and gas sector	0	.00
Built environment consortium	4	4.30
Total	92	100.00

Table 2. Profile of the participants.

World Bank, 2016). Its real estate sector contributed about 8.20% to the national GDP in 2016Q2 (National Bureau of Statistics, 2016). Despite its relative encouraging economic outlook, its property market is still not matured (Dugeri, 2011). However, the findings of this study will be beneficial to both local and international real estate stakeholders.

Results and discussion

Reliability test

The reliability of the data collected was evaluated in order to ascertain the suitability of the data for the present study. The Cronbach's alpha test was carried out in order to confirm the extent of the internal consistency among all the respondents of the survey (Tavakol & Dennick, 2011). The Cronbach alpha's score ranges between 0 and 1, and a value close to 1 depicts a high reliability and internal consistency. Hair, Black, Babin, Anderson, and Tatham (2010) claimed that a Cronbach alpha value that is above .70 is satisfactory. Coincidentally, a Cronbach's alpha value of .72 was recorded in this study which signifies a satisfactory reliability and internal consistency.

Valuers' profile

It is expected that the characteristics of the valuers in terms of educational qualification, professional experience, sector of practice and the position held in their firms, among other factors, will have an influence on the subject under consideration. Some of the demographic profile of the respondents are shown in Table 2.

These characteristics include their membership status with NIESV, the number of years of industry experience, the gender and the sector of practice. The statistics presented in Table



Figure 3. Valuers' highest educational qualification.



Figure 4. Location of academic institution(s) attended.

2 shows that about 76% of the valuers are either Fellow or Associate members of NIESV. This implies that majority of the respondents are committed members of the professional body. Also, Table 2 shows that about 63% of the valuers have acquired an industry professional experience of between 6–20 years and 17% possess over 20 years of experience. This indicates that the valuers are sufficiently experienced in the real estate practice. The gender distribution of the particiapnts indicate that 85% are men, whereas, 15% are female. It fair to interpret that the profession is male-dominated in Nigeria. In addition, about 72% of the respondents work in a private real estate firm and 17% are lecturers. This high percentage of valuers that are working in organizations where property valuation is either carried out or researched in is noteworthy for the present study.

The information presented in Figure 3 shows the highest educational qualification of the valuers. About 22% of the valuers have acquired bachelor's degree, 61% possess a postgraduate diploma/master's degree and 4% of the respondents are Doctor of Philosophy degree holder. This suggests that the valuers are much educated and are expected to be conversant with the global updates in property valuation practice.

On the position held by the valuers in their firms, 44% are principal partners, 15% are managing partners and senior estate surveyors, respectively. This amounts to over 70% of the valuers occupying top positions in their firms, which assures the validity of the feedback of this survey. Figure 4 presents the distribution of the location of higher institutions the valuers attended. It is evident that 87% of the valuers attended higher institutions located in Nigeria, while meager 2% have experienced foreign education. Eleven percent of the valuers have a combination of foreign and local educational experience.

Knowledge of the AI valuation techniques

The questions contained in Table 3 was posed to the valuers in order to ascertain their level of awareness of the AI techniques and some other issues relating to the knowledge of AI techniques. Almost 60% of the valuers either strongly agree or agree to be aware of these techniques, while the rest are either indifferent or disagree on the issue. On the use of AI techniques in practice, only 13% of the valuers adopt the approaches in practice. The technique referred to here by those valuers may probably be HPM, as Abidoye and Chan (2016) reported that this is one of the advanced approaches that the valuers operating in the Lagos metropolis (Nigeria) widely adopt in practice. The low usage may be connected to the lack of introduction to these techniques during academic training at tertiary educational (i.e. university and polytechnic), as more than half (52%) of the valuers indicated this.

It is expected that the basic rudiments of these techniques are to be taught to students during academic training. However, in a situation where that did not happen, the valuers have to learn on the job by attending workshops, seminars, training and conferences. Unfortunately, about 74% of the valuers have never received any in-house training organized by NIESV or Estate Surveyors and Valuers Registration Board of Nigeria (ESVARBON), suggesting that the valuers have not been provided with the opportunity to learn on the job through this avenue. These professional bodies that have been empowered by the Laws of the Federal Republic of Nigeria seem to have not sufficiently promoted the awareness and adoption of these techniques in practice.

Putting all the aforementioned together, 93% of the valuers indicated their willingness to acquire the know-how of the application of the AI techniques, while about 82% indicated their readiness to adopt these techniques in practice. This high receptiveness of the valuers should be harnessed by the relevant professional bodies and other real estate stakeholders, as this would expose the valuers to international best property valuation practice.

Reasons for low awareness and application of the AI valuation techniques

In order to further investigate the cause(s) of the low awareness and application of the AI techniques among valuers, responses were sought on questions addressing the issue (see Table 4). The factors were ranked in order of their importance to the awareness and application of the AI techniques that are under investigation.

		Level o	of agreemen	t (%)				
Questions	SA	A	N	D	SD	Mean score	Standard deviation	
Valuers are aware of the Al valuation techniques	13.0	45.7	15.2	10.9	15.2	3.3043	1.2730	
Valuers do use the Al valuation techniques in practice	2.2	10.9	28.3	32.6	26.1	2.3043	1.0455	
Valuers were introduced to Al valuation techniques while in	4.2	34.8	8.7	21.7	30.4	2.6087	1.3502	
school (university or polytechnic)								
NIESV or ESVARBON do organize conference, MCPD, seminar	6.5	13.0	6.5	41.3	32.6	2.1957	1.2156	
or workshop where this issue is discussed								
The basics of Al valuation techniques are well documented in	10.9	37.0	13.0	17.4	21.7	2.9783	1.3666	
valuation textbooks and journal articles								
Valuers are willing to acquire the know-how of the applica-	56.5	37.0	2.2	2.2	2.2	4.4348	.8294	
tion of Al techniques in property valuation								
Valuers will adopt the Al techniques in practice after acquir-	41.3	41.3	10.9	2.2	4.3	4.1304	.9968	
ing the know-how								
	0	-						

Table 3. Knowledge of the Al valuation techniques.

Notes: SA – Strongly agree, A – Agree, N – Neutral, D – Disagree and SD – Strongly disagree.

As shown in Table 4, the professional bodies (NIESV and ESVARBON) and the educational institutions were ranked as the first and second factors, respectively, with an MS of 3.5870 and 3.5435, respectively. This corroborates the findings reported earlier (see Table 3) and implies that it is imperative that these two factors are accorded utmost attention by the concern real estate stakeholders.

Valuers' willingness to acquire and adopt the AI techniques and their profile

It will be interesting to know if valuers' level of education, year of experience, sector of practice, or the location of the institution(s) attended have any significant relationship with their willingness to acquire the know-how and adopt the AI valuation techniques. As this will indicate what to focus on in order to achieve the goal of transforming the property valuation practice in Nigeria. The results of the Chi-square test performed to establish the relationship are presented in Table 5. On the willingness to acquire the know-how of the AI techniques, the year of experience has a strong statistical significance with the acquisition of AI knowhow at 5% level of significance. It is safe to suggest that the longer a valuer practice the real estate profession, the greater the desire to be proficient in the AI valuation techniques. This substantiates the findings of Mooya (2015) in South Africa who reported that the length of professional experience of a valuer determines their professional competence. On the other side, the years of experience and the sector where a valuer practices significantly influence their readiness to adopt the AI techniques in practice. This implies that the more a valuer practice this profession, the more the eagerness to adopt the techniques in practice coupled with the sector of practice which is probably in a real estate firm, owing to the fact that most (70%) of the valuers work in private real estate firms.

Enablers of the AI valuation techniques adoption

From the perspective of introducing the AI techniques in practice in Nigeria, all real estate stakeholders have a role to play. Table 6 reflect the opinion of valuers on the measure that would enhance the adoption of the AI techniques. Of the five measures presented, a partnership between the Nigerian real estate professional bodies (NIESV and ESVARBON) and international professional bodies such as Royal Institution of Chartered Surveyors (RICS) was ranked first with an MS value of 3.8913. This implies the need for an active and sustainable collaboration between the Nigerian real estate professional bodies and their international counterparts. This partnership has been achieved in some African countries (Ghana, Kenya and South Africa) and has gone beyond mere affiliations, rather the operation of international real estate related courses are offered. This still amounts to the need for basic educational training for real estate undergraduates in order to make them updated of international property valuation theories and practice (Mooya, 2015).

Prospects of adopting the AI valuation techniques

Scholars have applied successfully and reported outstanding results in the application of the AI techniques under investigation in the present study (see for instance Adair, Berry,

Table 4. Reasons for the level of awarene	ess and app	lications of	the Al valua	ation techni	iques.			
		Level	of agreemer	nt (%)				
Factors	SA	A	Z	D	SD	Mean score	Standard deviation	Rank
NIESV and ESVARBON are responsible for the lack of awareness and adoption of the Al	26.1	34.8	21.7	6.5	10.9	3.5870	1.2505	1st
valuation approaches among valuers The educational institutions (academics) are responsible for the lack of awareness of the	26.1	28.3	26.1	13.0	6.5	3.5435	1.1990	2nd
Al valuation approaches among valuers Real estate professionals are responsible for their woni lack of awareness of the Al valuation techniquies	13.0	21.7	39.1	21.7	4.3	3.1739	1.0546	3rd
My leaded of a compact of the Al valuation the lack of awareness of the Al valuation techniques	10.9	6.5	26.1	21.7	34.8	2.3696	1.3153	4th
My years of professional experience is re- sponsible for my lack of awareness of the AI valuation techniques	4.3	6.5	23.9	28.3	37.0	2.1304	1.2139	5th
Notes: SA – Strongly agree, A – Agree, N – Neutra Table 5. Chi-square test for valuers willin	al, D – Disagre igness to ac	ee and SD – St quire and a	trongly disagi dopt Al knc	ee. wledge an	d their profile	نە		
Characteristics				χ^2 value		Degree of freed	dom (df)	<i>p</i> -value
Willingness to acquire Al techniques know-how								

	ž		
	2		
•	Ì		
	2	μ	
	ì	5	
	ζ	כ	
	2		
	2	0	
	2	2	5
	č	5	ľ
	ò	ΰ	
	2	>	
	ć	5	
	è		
	<u> </u>	<	
		r	
,	+	2	
	¢	2	
	<u></u>	2	
	ŝ		
	-	3	
	è	_	
	c	0	
	¢	υ	
•	Ì	Ξ	
	7	2	
	ζ	2	
	C	0	
	ç	2	
1	ί.	~	
	ç	ń	
	¢	μ	
	c		
	2	5	5
	200	7	0
	200		0
•			ſ
•			n
•			٦
•			0
•			٦ ١
			٦ ١
			٦ ١
			ſ
			0
		זמו ב ובארו הו אמותבו או אוווחו	ſ
			-
			-
· · · ·			
			<u>-</u>

Table 5. Chi-square test for valuers willingness to acquire and ado	pt Al knowledge and their profi	le.	
Characteristics	χ^2 value	Degree of freedom (df)	p-value
Willingness to acquire AI techniques know-how			
Acquire higher educational qualification	5.281 ^a	16	.994ª
The years of experience	32.229 ^a	16	۵00 ^a
The sector of practice	11.778 ^a	16	.759 ^a
The location of institution(s) attended	10.282	8	.246
Willingness to adopt AI techniques in practice			
Acquire higher educational qualification	24.539ª	16	.078 ^a
The years of experience	41.857 ^a	16	-000 ^a
The sector of practice	64.305 ^a	16	-000 ^a
The location of institution(s) attended	9.926	8	.270
Note: χ^2 means Chi-square.			
^d Cells have expected count less than 5.			

Table 6. Measures that will enhance the a	adoption of	the Al valu	ation techr	iiques.				
		Level	of agreemer	nt (%)				
Enablers	SA	А	N	D	SD	Mean score	Standard deviation	Rank
NIESV and ESVARBON partnership with other international real estate professional bodies	30.4	45.7	10.9	8.7	4.3	3.8913	1.0737	1st
The overhauling of valuation curriculum by ESVARBON	23.9	50.0	15.2	8.7	2.2	3.8478	.9600	2nd
Valuers compulsory attendance of seminar, training, workshop and conference organ- ized by ESVARBON and NIESV	21.7	50.0	19.6	2.2	6.5	3.7826	1.0252	3rd
The amendment of the membership code of conduct of NIESV and ESVARBON	19.6	21.7	28.3	19.6	10.9	3.1957	1.2687	4th
Valuers mandatory acquisition of higher academic education	15.2	26.1	10.9	32.6	15.2	2.9348	1.3490	5th
			يت ما تما تم م					

Š
Ð
_
σ
=
<u> </u>
U
đ)
ے
-
_
=
0
1
on o
_
Ω
.2
~
_
<
-
d 2
$\underline{\Psi}$
_
<u> </u>
Ť
0
-
1
0
·
5
<u> </u>
0
\simeq
0
ā
.0
d)
ž
<u> </u>
÷
Ļ
et
cet
nce t
ince t
ance t
nance t
hance t
nhance t
enhance t
enhance t
ll enhance t
ill enhance t
vill enhance t
will enhance t
: will enhance t
it will enhance t
at will enhance t
nat will enhance t
that will enhance t
that will enhance t
s that will enhance t
es that will enhance t
res that will enhance t
ires that will enhance t
ures that will enhance t
sures that will enhance t
asures that will enhance t
easures that will enhance t
easures that will enhance t
Aeasures that will enhance t
Measures that will enhance t
. Measures that will enhance t
i. Measures that will enhance t
6. Measures that will enhance t
6. Measures that will enhance t
e 6. Measures that will enhance t
le 6. Measures that will enhance t

Notes: SA – Strongly agree, A – Agree, N – Neutral, D – Disagree and SD – Strongly disagree.

		Leve	el of agreement	(%)			Standard	
Factors	SA	А	Ν	D	SD	Mean score	deviation	Rank
This will add value and transform the Nigerian property valuation practice	23.9	47.8	19.6	4.3	4.3	3.8261	.9901	1st
The adoption of the Al techniques in Nigerian valuation practice will be sustained when implemented	8.7	50.0	39.1	2.2	0.	3.6522	.6701	2nd
It will produce reliable estimates that will be acceptable by valuation report end-users and all stakeholders	15.2	41.3	39.1	2.2	2.2	3.6522	.8446	2nd
The AI valuation techniques can reduce subjective inter- ference in property valuation practice	17.4	34.8	39.1	6.5	2.2	3.5870	.9276	4th
It will reduce the time involved in carrying out valuation exercise	13.0	41.3	34.8	10.1	0.	3.5652	.8555	5th
The Al valuation technigues can replicate human skills	10.9	37.0	32.6	15.2	4.3	3.3478	1.0102	6th
It will reduce the cost involved in carrying out valuation exercise	4.3	39.1	39.1	15.2	2.2	3.2826	.8558	7th
The AI valuation approaches are more superior to the traditional valuation methods	10.9	17.4	39.1	26.1	6.5	3.0000	1.0690	8th
Notes: SA – Strongly agree, A – Agree, N – Neutral, D – Disagr	ee and SD – Stro	ongly disagree.						

Table 7. Benefits of adopting the Al valuation techniques.

& McGreal, 1996; Hui, Lau, & Lo, 2009; Kuşan et al., 2010; McCluskey et al., 2013; Tay & Ho, 1992; Tse & Love, 2000). Therefore, it is believed that if the AI techniques are employed in the Nigerian real estate market, it could bring about a paradigm shift from the present state to an international level (Babawale & Oyalowo, 2011). However, in order of importance from the view of the valuers, the adoption of the AI techniques in Nigeria real estate market will transform the real estate practice, it will be sustained when implemented and the techniques would produce reliable and accurate valuation estimates that would reduce valuation inaccuracy in the property market (see Table 7). The views of the Nigerian valuers are not estranged with that of the Malaysian valuers that are optimistic that computer-aided valuation would transform the Malaysian property valuation practice (Azmi, Nawawi, Ab Latif, & Ling, 2013). These benefits should not be underemphasized because the Nigerian property market is still at the developing stage (Dugeri, 2011), and at the same time, it is highly imperative to regain end-users' confidence of valuation reports in Nigeria (Adegoke, Olaleye, & Oloyede, 2013), in order for Nigerian real estate professionals to remain competitive and respectful (Babawale & Ajayi, 2011).

Conclusion and further research

The current immature state of the Nigerian real estate practice, in terms of its property valuation practice motivated the present study. Howbeit, the receptiveness of Nigerian valuers to adopt the AI valuation techniques was investigated. An online questionnaire was administered to professional members of NIESV. The analyses of the collected data revealed that a little bit above half of the valuers are aware of the AI valuation techniques, but do not adopt them in practice. This is largely due to the little or no continuous professional training and non-inclusion of the AI techniques in the current curriculum of real estate programs at universities and polytechnics. Valuers' length of professional experience and the sector where they work was found to influence their willingness to adopt these AI techniques. Meaningful collaboration and affiliation with international real estate professional bodies and the overhauling of the valuation curriculum at universities and polytechnics would aid the adoption of the techniques across the nation. This would add value to the valuation practice, in terms of estimating and reporting reliable and accurate valuation estimates that would be a good representation of market value. The subjective interference of valuers in property valuation exercise can be reduced and then lessen the level of valuation inaccuracy prevalent in the property market to the lowest minimum. The findings of the present study should serve as a wake-up call to all real estate stakeholders in Nigeria, especially the main drivers of the issue under investigation (NIESV, ESVARBON, valuers and lecturers in higher institutions) to advocate and propagate the acquisition and adoption of the AI valuation techniques in Nigeria. This could be achieved by improving the current curriculum used at different level of higher institution of education. The overhauling should be benchmarked against international standard in order to keep the students updated with the trending international valuation theories and methodologies before they graduate and begin to practice the profession. The training of practicing estate surveyors and valuers in the proficiency of the advanced valuation approaches should be accorded an urgent attention by NIESV and ESVARBON. These trainings should be hands-on, as this would enhance the ability of the valuers to incorporation market research into property valuation exercise. Property transactions data are needed to develop property price models,

therefore, NIESV and ESVARBON could direct effort in maintaining a more robust and quality property sales and purchases databank, as this could improve the reliability and accuracy of property valuation estimates. This could revolutionize the property valuation practice to attaining international standard. It is assumed that the list of valuers in the 2014 NIESV directory is not updated, therefore, valuers whose details are not in the directory or have their incomplete information (no e-mail address) captured in the directory might have been excluded from this survey. However, the high profile of the sampled surveyors ensure that meaningful inferences can be made from this study. The earnest adoption of the AI techniques in practice may be triggered with research because research drives the sustainability of a profession. Although the Nigerian real estate market has been modeled using the HPM technique, the ANN and FLS techniques have not received attention by the Nigerian real estate scholars. Therefore, to further this research, the ANN will be adopted in modeling the Nigerian real estate market, particularly the Lagos metropolis property market. The further study will; (1) investigate the suitability and viability of ANN in property valuation in Nigeria and (2) compare the findings with that of HPM, so as to establish the most reliable and accurate of the two techniques.

Acknowledgments

The authors sincerely acknowledge the Research Grants Council of Hong Kong (SAR) and the Department of Building and Real Estate, The Hong Kong Polytechnic University, Hong Kong, for providing financial and material support toward this research. The authors will also like to thank all the valuers that responded to the survey.

Disclosure statement

No potential conflict of interest was reported by the authors.

ORCID

Rotimi Boluwatife Abidoye D http://orcid.org/0000-0001-9049-9527

References

- Abidoye, R. B., & Chan, A. P. C. (2016). A survey of property valuation approaches in Nigeria. *Property Management*, *34*, 364–380.
- Adair, A. S., Berry, J. N., & McGreal, W. S. (1996). Hedonic modelling, housing submarkets and residential valuation. *Journal of Property Research*, 13, 67–83.
- Adegoke, J. O. (2014). Critical factors determining rental value of residential property in Ibadan metropolis, Nigeria. *Property Management*, *32*, 224–240.
- Adegoke, O., Olaleye, A., & Oloyede, S. (2013). A study of valuation clients perception on mortgage valuation reliability. *African Journal of Environmental Science and Technology*, *7*, 585–590.
- Akintoye, A., & Fitzgerald, E. (2000). A survey of current cost estimating practices in the UK. *Construction Management & Economics*, 18, 161–172.
- Allen, I. E., & Seaman, C. A. (2007). Likert scales and data analyses. Quality Progress, 40, 64-65.
- Ameyaw, E. E., & Chan, A. P. C. (2015). Risk ranking and analysis in PPP water supply infrastructure projects. *Facilities*, *33*, 428–453.
- Amri, S., & Tularam, G. A. (2012). Performance of mulitple linear regression and nonlinear neural networks and fuzzy logic techniques in modelling house prices. *Journal of Mathematics and Statistics*, 8, 419–434.

- Ayedun, C., Ogunba, O., & Oloyede, S. (2010). The accuracy of Nigerian property valuations revisited. *Built Environment Journal*, 7(2), 1–11.
- Azmi, A. S. M., Nawawi, A. H., Ab Latif, S. N. F., & Ling, N. L. F. J. (2013). Property valuers' receptive level on knowledge of computer aided valuation (CAV) system. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 105, 734–744.
- Babarinde, J. A. (2015). Asset valuation and the role of news in sub-Saharan Africa: Valuers' rationality and the hype dilemma. *Pacific Rim Property Research Journal*, 21, 139–159.
- Babawale, G. K., & Ajayi, C. A. (2011). Variance in residential property valuation in Lagos, Nigeria. *Property Management*, 29, 222–237.
- Babawale, G. K., & Oyalowo, B. A. (2011). Incorporating sustainability into real estate valuation: The perception of Nigerian valuers. *Journal of Sustainable Development*, *4*, 236–248.
- Bagnoli, C., & Smith, H. C. (1998). The theory of fuzz logic and its application to real estate valuation. *Journal of Real Estate Research*, *16*, 169–200.
- Borst, R. A. (1991). Artificial neural networks: The next modelling/calibration technology for the assessment community. *Property Tax Journal*, *10*, 69–94.
- Borst, R. A. (1995). Artificial neural networks in mass appraisal. *Journal of Property Tax Assessment* & Administration, 1, 5–15.
- Byrne, P. (1995). Fuzzy analysis: A vague way of dealing with uncertainty in real estate analysis? *Journal of Property Valuation and Investment*, *13*, 22–41.
- Cebula, R. J. (2009). The hedonic pricing model applied to the housing market of the city of Savannah and its Savannah historic landmark district. *The Review of Regional Studies*, *39*, 9–22.
- Cechin, A., Souto, A., & Gonzalez, A. M. (2000, November 22–25). *Real estate value at Porto Alegre city using artificial neural networks*. Paper presented at the 6th Brazilian Symposium on Neural Networks, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil.
- Chiang, Y., Tao, L., & Wong, F. K. (2015). Causal relationship between construction activities, employment and GDP: The case of Hong Kong. *Habitat International*, 46, 1–12.
- Dawes, J. G. (2008). Do data characteristics change according to the number of scale points used? An experiment using 5 point, 7 point and 10 point scales. *International Journal of Market Research*, *51*, 61–77.
- Dilmore, G. (1993). *Fuzzy set theory: An introduction to its application for real estate analysts.* Paper presented at the Annual conference of the American Real Estate Society, Key West, Florida.
- Din, A., Hoesli, M., & Bender, A. (2001). Environmental variables and real estate prices. *Urban Studies*, *38*, 1989–2000.
- Dix, K., & Anderson, J. (2000). Distance no longer a barrier: Using the internet as a survey tool in educational research. *International Education Journal*, *1*, 83–93.
- Do, A. Q., & Grudnitski, G. (1992). A neural network approach to residential property appraisal. *The Real Estate Appraiser*, *58*, 38–45.
- Dugeri, T. T. (2011). An evaluation of the maturity of the Nigerian property market (Doctoral dissertation). University of Lagos, Lagos. Retrieved from http://www.afrer.org/docs/pdf/dugeri.pdf
- Famuyiwa, F., & Babawale, G. K. (2014). Hedonic values of physical infrastructure in house rentals. *Journal of Facilities Management*, *12*, 211–230.
- Frank, L. D., Saelens, B. E., Powell, K. E., & Chapman, J. E. (2007). Stepping towards causation: Do built environments or neighborhood and travel preferences explain physical activity, driving, and obesity? *Social Science & Medicine*, 65, 1898–1914.
- Ge, J. X. (2004). *Housing price models for Hong Kong* (Doctoral dissertation). University of Newcastle, Australia.
- Ge, X. J. (2009). Determinants of house prices in New Zealand. *Pacific Rim Property Research Journal*, 15, 90–112.
- Gillham, B. (2000). Developing a questionnaire. London: Continuum.
- González, M. A. S., & Formoso, C. T. (2006). Mass appraisal with genetic fuzzy rule-based systems. *Property Management*, 24, 20–30.
- Hair, J., Black, W., Babin, B., Anderson, R., & Tatham, R. (2010). *Multivariate data analysis* (7th ed.). Upper Saddle River, NJ: Prentice-Hall.

- Hoxley, M. (2008). Questionnaire design and factor analysis. In A. Knight & L. Ruddock (Eds.), Advanced research methods in the built environment (pp. 122–134). Chichester: Wiley-Blackwell.
- Hui, C. M. E., Lau, M. F. O., & Lo, K. K. T. (2009). Deciphering real estate investment decisions through fuzzy logic systems. *Property Management*, 27, 163–177.
- Janssen, C., Söderberg, B., & Zhou, J. (2001). Robust estimation of hedonic models of price and income for investment property. *Journal of Property Investment & Finance*, *19*, 342–360.
- Jim, C., & Chen, W. Y. (2006). Impacts of urban environmental elements on residential housing prices in Guangzhou (China). *Landscape and Urban Planning*, *78*, 422–434.
- Kontrimas, V., & Verikas, A. (2011). The mass appraisal of the real estate by computational intelligence. *Applied Soft Computing*, *11*, 443–448.
- Kummerow, M. (2003). *Theory for real estate valuation: An alternative way to teach real estate price estimation methods*. Perth: Department of Land Economy and Valuation, Curtin University.
- Kuşan, H., Aytekin, O., & Özdemir, I. (2010). The use of fuzzy logic in predicting house selling price. *Expert Systems with Applications*, *37*, 1808–1813.
- Lam, K. C., Yu, C. Y., & Lam, K. Y. (2008). An artificial neural network and entropy model for residential property price forecasting in Hong Kong. *Journal of Property Research, 25*, 321–342.
- Lenk, M. M., Worzala, E. M., & Silva, A. (1997). High-tech valuation: Should artificial neural networks bypass the human valuer? *Journal of Property Valuation and Investment*, *15*, 8–26.
- Lentz, G., & Wang, K. (1998). Residential appraisal and the lending process: A survey of issues. *Journal of Real Estate Research*, 15, 11–39.
- Mallick, H., & Mahalik, M. K. (2015). Factors determining regional housing prices: Evidence from major cities in India. *Journal of Property Research*, 32, 123–146.
- Mbachu, J. I., & Lenono, N. (2005, July 4–8). *Factors influencing market values of residential properties*. Paper presented at the Queensland University of Technology Research Week International Conference, Brisbane, Australia.
- McCluskey, W. (1996). Predictive accuracy of machine learning models for the mass appraisal of residential property. *New Zealand Valuers Journal*, *16*, 41–47.
- McCluskey, W., Deddis, W., Mannis, A., McBurney, D., & Borst, R. (1997). Interactive application of computer assisted mass appraisal and geographic information systems. *Journal of Property Valuation and Investment*, *15*, 448–465.
- McCluskey, W. J., McCord, M., Davis, P., Haran, M., & McIlhatton, D. (2013). Prediction accuracy in mass appraisal: A comparison of modern approaches. *Journal of Property Research*, 30, 239–265.
- McGreal, S., Adair, A., McBurney, D., & Patterson, D. (1998). Neural networks: The prediction of residential values. *Journal of Property Valuation and Investment*, *16*, 57–70.
- Mendel, J. M. (1995). Fuzzy logic systems for engineering: A tutorial. *Proceedings of the IEEE, 83*, 345–377.
- Mooya, M. M. (2015). The education and professional practice of valuers in South Africa: A critical review. *Property Management*, 33, 245–274.
- Mora-Esperanza, J. G. (2004, April). Artificial intelligence applied to real etsate valaution: An example for the appraisal of Madrid. *CATASTRO*, (1), 255–265. Retrieved from http://www.eurocadastre. org/pdf/jgallegoct50ing.pdf
- National Bureau of Statistics. (2016). Nigerian gross domestic product report (p. 41). Retrieved December 30, 2016, from http://www.nigerianstat.gov.ng/report/469
- Nguyen, N., & Cripps, A. (2001). Predicting housing value: A comparison of multiple regression analysis and artificial neural networks. *Journal of Real Estate Research*, 22, 313–336.
- Ogunba, O., & Ajayi, C. (1998). An assessment of the accuracy of valuations in the residential property market of Lagos. *The Estate Surveyor and Valuer*, *21*, 19–23.
- Ong, T. S. (2013). Factors affecting the price of housing in Malaysia. *Journal of Emerging Issues in Economics, Finance and Banking, 1,* 414–429.
- Owusu-Ansah, A. (2012). Examination of the determinants of housing values in urban Ghana and implications for policy makers. *Journal of African Real Estate Research, 2*, 58–85.
- Özkan, G., Yalpır, Ş., & Uygunol, O. (2007, May 29–June 1). An investigation on the price estimation of residable real-estates by using artificial neural network and regression methods. Paper presented

at the 12th Applied Stochastic Models and Data Analysis International conference (ASMDA), Chania, Crete, Greece.

- Pagourtzi, E., Assimakopoulos, V., Hatzichristos, T., & French, N. (2003). Real estate appraisal: A review of valuation methods. *Journal of Property Investment & Finance*, *21*, 383–401.
- Pagourtzi, E., Metaxiotis, K., Nikolopoulos, K., Giannelos, K., & Assimakopoulos, V. (2007). Real estate valuation with artificial intelligence approaches. *International Journal of Intelligent Systems Technologies and Applications*, *2*, 50–57.
- Paz, T. P. (2003). Determinants of housing prices in Spanish cities. *Journal of Property Investment & Finance*, 21, 109–135.
- Poon, J., Hoxley, M., & Fuchs, W. (2011). Real estate education: An investigation of multiple stakeholders. *Property Management*, 29, 468–487.
- RICS. (2015). Unleashing Sub-Saharan African property markets. Retrieved May 1, 2015, from Royal Institution of Chartered Surveyors http://www.rics.org/hk/knowledge/research/research-reports/unleashing-sub-saharan-african-property-markets/
- Rossini, P. (1997). Artificial neural networks versus multiple regression in the valuation of residential property. *Australian Land Economics Review*, *3*(1), 1–12.
- Rossini, P. (1999, January 26–30). Accuracy issues for automated and artificial intelligent residential valuation systems. Paper presented at the International Real Estate Society Conference, Kuala Lumpur.
- Schwartz, T. J. (1995). Automating appraisal. Wall Street & Technology, 12, 64-66.
- Selim, H. (2009). Determinants of house prices in Turkey: Hedonic regression versus artificial neural network. *Expert Systems with Applications*, *36*, 2843–2852.
- Selim, S. (2008). Determinants of house prices in Turkey: A hedonic regression model. *Doğuş Üniversitesi Dergisi*, 9, 65–76.
- Shapiro, E., Mackmin, D., & Sams, G. (2012). *Modern methods of valuation* (11th ed.). London: Estates Gazette.
- Tabales, J. N. M., Ocerin, C. J. M., & Carmona, F. J. R. (2013). Artificial neural networks for predicting real estate prices. *Revista de Metodos Cuantitativos para la Economia y la Empresa*, 15, 29–44.
- Tavakol, M., & Dennick, R. (2011). Making sense of Cronbach's alpha. *International Journal of Medical Education*, 2, 53–55.
- Tay, D. P., & Ho, D. K. (1992). Artificial intelligence and the mass appraisal of residential apartments. *Journal of Property Valuation and Investment*, 10, 525–540.
- The World Bank. (2016). *Gross domestic product 2015*. Retrieved July 22, 2016, from World Bank http://databank.worldbank.org/data/download/GDP.pdf
- Tse, R. Y. (1997). An application of the ARIMA model to real-estate prices in Hong Kong. *Journal of Property Finance*, *8*, 152–163.
- Tse, R. Y., & Love, P. E. (2000). Measuring residential property values in Hong Kong. *Property Management*, *18*, 366–374.
- Waziri, B. S. (2010, July 27–28). An artificial neural network model for predicting construction costs of institutional building projects in Nigeria. Paper presented at the West Africa Built Environment Research (WABER) Conference, Accra, Ghana.
- Witten, R. G. (1987). Riding the real estate cycle. Real Estate Today, 42–48.
- Worzala, E., Lenk, M., & Silva, A. (1995). An exploration of neural networks and its application to real estate valuation. *Journal of Real Estate Research*, *10*, 185–201.
- Worzala, E., Tu, C., Benedict, R., & Matthews, A. (2013). A graduate real estate program survey: Careers and compensation. *Journal of Real Estate Practice and Education*, *16*, 29–40.
- Zadeh, L. A. (1965). Fuzzy sets. Information and control, 8, 338–353.
- Zheng, X., Chau, K. W., & Hui, E. C.-M. (2012). The impact of property price on construction output. Construction Management and Economics, 30, 1025–1037.
- Zurada, J. M., Levitan, A. S., & Guan, J. (2006). Non-conventional approaches to property value assessment. *Journal of Applied Business Research*, 22(3), 1–14.